

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10
11

12 **JAMES E. WASHINGTON,**) **CV F 05-0480 OWW WMW HC**
13 **Petitioner,**) **ORDER DENYING**
14 **v.**) **MOTION FOR DISPOSITION**
15) **AND CLARIFICATION OF**
16 **PAUL M. SCHULTZ,**) **CIVIL DOCKET**
17 **Respondent.**)
18 _____)
19
20

21 Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas
22 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
23 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

24 On March 2, 2006, Petitioner filed a motion concerning the status of this case in light
25 of this court's failure to initially inform Petitioner of the entry of findings and
26 recommendations in this case, and of Petitioner's right to object to those findings and
27 recommendations. Petitioner subsequently filed objections to the findings and
28 recommendations on May 5, 2006, and those objections are being considered by the court.
Thus, the issues addressed in Petitioner's motion have become moot. Accordingly,
Petitioner's motion for disposition and clarification is HEREBY DENIED as moot. IT IS SO
ORDERED.

Mmkd34 Dated: February 5, 2007 /s/ William M. Wunderlich

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28